



IJEAST

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL
OF ENGINEERING APPLIED SCIENCE
AND TECHNOLOGY



VOLUME : 4 ISSUE : 07 Print / Issue Publication Date: 07-Jan-2020



ISSN : 2455-2143



DOI : 10.33564/IJEAST.2019.v04i07.036

Indexed In



WWW.IJEAST.COM

editor@ijeast.com



CARBON SEQUESTRATION POTENTIAL OF PLANTS USED IN THE BEAUTIFICATION AND GREENING OF IKEJA METROPOLIS, LAGOS, NIGERIA

Osinaike, Titilola Savier

Department of Botany

Lagos State University, Ojo, Lagos, Nigeria

Onyemeka, Regland Michael

Department of Botany

Lagos State University, Ojo, Lagos, Nigeria

Adejobi, M. J

Centre for Environment and Science Education

Lagos State University, Ojo, Lagos, Nigeria

Meduoye, Omoyeni Andrew

Department of Botany

Lagos State University, Ojo, Lagos, Nigeria

Ojekale, Anthony B.

Department of Biochemistry

Lagos State University, Ojo, Lagos, Nigeria

Ogun, Mautin Lawrence

Department of Botany

Lagos State University, Ojo, Lagos, Nigeria

Abstract— This study evaluated the efficiency and effectiveness of carbon sequestration of plants used in the beautification and greening of Ikeja metropolis, Lagos State (South West, Nigeria). The efficiency of carbon sequestration of plants was extrapolated from foliar chlorophyll content of each plant. The results showed that in areas with ornamental plants, the level of carbon dioxide is relatively low compared to areas without plants. Along the Lagos State University Teaching Hospital (LASUTH)/Bank Anthony location, the mean carbon dioxide concentration in areas without plants was 17.10 ± 0.06 mg/ml while areas within the same location but with plants have a carbon dioxide concentration of 6.91 ± 1.73 mg/ml. It was observed that, *Terminalia catappa* (Almond) has the greatest sequestration efficiency; with mean total chlorophyll content of 1.00 ± 0.02 mg/l while *Murraya paniculata* (*Murraya* exotic) has a mean total chlorophyll content of 0.41 ± 0.03 mg/l. The results of the research showed that plants found in the area sequester carbon efficiently with *Terminalia catappa* (Almond) and *Polyalthia longifolia* (Masquerade) having highest carbon sequestration potentials when compared to the shrubs. basis for a formal and on-going carbon management programme, with the potential to do more. This research provides a useful foundation for future action on carbon sequestration in the state and country at large. It could form the basis to inform the on-going management of carbon sequestration, including the planning of new planting and decisions regarding the management of

mature trees to improve the state's and country's environmental reputation.

Keywords— Carbon sequestration; climate change; Carbon dioxide: chlorophyll; Photosynthesis

I. INTRODUCTION

Since the dawn of the industrial revolution, the burning of fossil fuel and land use changes have released a lot of greenhouse gas—(carbondioxide (CO_2)), resulting in global warming and a series of environmental problems (Solomon *et al.*, 2007; IPCC, 2013). Chlorophyll is an extremely important, critical in photosynthesis; its content in plant leaves indicates their photosynthetic capacity. Photosynthesis is the major direct way by which CO_2 in the atmosphere is fixed back to the earth (Speer, 1997). Increase in chlorophyll content increases photosynthesis activities of the plant thus increase in CO_2 fixed from the atmosphere. As the re-growth of forest can absorb CO_2 effectively; much attention has been paid to forest fixed Carbon (Fang *et al.*, 2007). It is an effective measure for alleviating global warming ((Andrasko, 1990 and Brown *et al.*, 1993). With the signing of the Kyoto Protocol in 1997 coupled with the implementation of policies to reduce global warming, research and application of forest Carbon sink has been given a lot of attention (Cannel *et al.*, 1999 and Dai *et al.*, 2004). The Importance of forested areas in carbon sequestration is already accepted, and well documented (Tiwari and Singh, 1987) and as such there have been lots of research works on the carbon sequestration



potentials of closed forests, open forests, woodlands, woody savannahs and woodlots at different levels (Brown, 1997). Lagos and Nigeria at large could benefit from the targeted interventions that would reduce the local air pollution and help the country to tackle greenhouse gas emissions (Oyedepo, 2012). Carbon sequestration potentials of trees is one of such intervention, however continued existence of trees species in Lagos is already in jeopardy; because deforestation, logging and other various forms of unsustainable activities have drastically increased in recent times, thereby posing appreciable risk of local extinction to some tree species (Nodza *et al.*, 2014). Therefore this study focuses on the carbon sequestration efficiency of trees and shrubs used in the beautification and greening of Ikeja metropolis of Lagos State, South West Nigeria to mitigate the CO₂ emissions caused by various human operations.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. Site Description

The study area was Ikeja metropolis, an outer-ring suburb of the city of Lagos and the capital of Lagos State. Ikeja is one of the constitutionally recognized 774 Local Government Areas (LGAs) in Nigeria. It lies between latitudes 6.35°N and 6.583° N, longitude 3.45°E and 3.75° E. The area has a humid tropical climate characterized by distinct dry and wet seasons with moderate mean annual rainfall which varies between 1381.7 mm and 2733.4 mm. The area has two discernible seasons viz: rainy season (from March to early July and from September to early November with break in late July and August) and dry seasons (between August and September and between December and March). It has a temperature ranging between 29°C– 34°C, the lowest being in the month of July and the highest in March. The relative humidity is generally high and rarely below 70% throughout the year (Akanni, 1992). The study was carried out in three different locations in Ikeja metropolis where trees and shrubs are used in abundance for beautification purpose. Table 1 indicates the location and plants found in the location.

B. Sample Analysis

Height of trees

The mature plant species were sampled in the three locations. The height of the plant species were measured using the trigonometry method of Kerson *et al.* (1993) and Zobeing (2004).

Air Sample Analysis

A Land duo multi flue gas analyzer (Testo 350XL) was used to determine the carbon dioxide concentration around the trees. Air sample was taken at point 10 metres and 15 metres away from the trees, and the sample collections were replicated three times. Air was also sampled similarly in areas with and without trees, but within the same environment.

Leaf Sample Analysis

Extraction and estimation of chlorophyll content was estimated using the method described by Arnon, (1949). Total chlorophyll content was calculated by summing results of chlorophyll a and that of chlorophyll b for each sample taken. A total of 12 samples for each plant were taken at the different locations. 3g of fresh leaves were blended and then extracted with 10ml of 80% acetone and left for 15 minutes for thorough extraction. The liquid portion was decanted into another test-tube and centrifuged at 2,500rpm for 3 minutes. The supernatant was then collected and the absorbance taken at 645nm and 663nm using a spectrophotometer. Calculations were done using the formula below.

$$\text{Chlorophyll a} = 12.7DX_{643} - 2.69DX_{645} \times \text{vmg (mg/g)} \div 1000w$$

$$\text{Chlorophyll b} = 22.9DX_{645} - 24.68DX_{665} \times \text{vmg (mg/g)} \div 1000w$$

$$\text{TCh} = \text{Chlorophyll a} + \text{b (mg/g)}$$

$$Dx = \text{Absorbance of the extract at the wavelength (xnm)}$$

$$V = \text{Total volume of the chlorophyll solution (ml)}$$

$$W = \text{Weight of the tissue extracted (g)}.$$

Table 1: Plant Distribution in the Selected Areas

Location	Coordinate	Plants collected from the location
LASUTH/ Mobalaji Anthony	6°35'27.96'N, 3°20'25.43'E	<i>Murraya panicullata</i> , <i>Terminalia catappa</i> , <i>Polyalthia longifolia</i> , <i>Ficus benjamin</i> , <i>Duranta repens</i>
Government Reservation Area (GRA)	6°33'59.46'N, 3°21'30.64'E	<i>Murraya panicullata</i> , <i>Terminalia catappa</i> , <i>Polyalthia longifolia</i> , <i>Ficus benjamin</i> , <i>Duranta repens</i>
State secretariat	6°35'32.16'N, 3°20'37.58'E	<i>Murraya panicullata</i> , <i>Terminalia catappa</i> , <i>Polyalthia longifolia</i> , <i>Ficus benjamin</i> , <i>Duranta repens</i>



III. RESULTS

The measured average height of *Murraya paniculata*, *Terminalia catappa*, *Polyalthia longifolia*, *Ficus benjamin*, *Duranta repens* are 16m (52.5ft), 2.5m (8.2ft), 34m (111.5ft), 30m (98.4ft) and 4m (13.1ft) respectively.

The total chlorophyll content of leaves of selected trees and shrubs as well as the carbon dioxide concentration in locations with and without trees or shrubs are presented in Table 2 and 3. The data were expressed as means $\pm$ standard error. Tables 2 shows that the highest sequestration takes place at LASUTH/Bank Anthony with a mean difference of 10.19 mg/ml. While secretariat with a mean difference of 5.83 mg/ml shows the least level of sequestration. A closer look at Table 3 reveals that Almond (*Terminalia catappa*) has the highest mean chlorophyll content (1.00 mg/l) while Yellow bush (*Duranta repens*) has the lowest total chlorophyll content (0.20mg/l). The difference proves that there was significant difference within the species.

Table 2: Comparison of mean carbon dioxide concentration in areas with and without trees and/or shrubs

Location	Mean (mg/ml) Areas without Trees/shrub	Mean (mg/ml) Areas with Trees/shrub	Percentage different (%)
LASUTH/Mobalaji Anthony	17.10 $\pm$ 0.006 ^a	6.91 $\pm$ 1.7	10.19
Roundabout/Alausa	15.16 $\pm$ 0.06 ^b	18.18 $\pm$ 1.36	6.98
GRA	14.60 $\pm$ 0.06 ^c	8.49 $\pm$ 2.26	6.11
Secretariat	11.10 $\pm$ 0.06 ^d	5.27 $\pm$ 0.9	5.83

Table 3: Mean total chlorophyll content of each species

Name of Species	Common Name	Number of Plant sampled	Mean (mg/g) $\pm$ SE	Standard Deviation (SD)
--------------------	----------------	----------------------------------	----------------------------	-------------------------------

<i>Terminalia catappa</i> ,	Almond	12	1.00 $\pm$ 0.02	0.06
<i>Polyalthia longifolia</i> ,	Masquerade	12	0.88 $\pm$ 0.01	0.13
<i>Ficus benjamin</i> ,	Ficus	12	0.41 $\pm$ 0.03	0.10
<i>Murraya paniculata</i> ,	Murraya	12	0.26 $\pm$ 0.02	0.06
<i>Duranta repens</i>	Yellow bush	12	0.20 $\pm$ 0.03	0.12

IV. DISCUSSION

An essential part of a process of carbon management is to calculate the carbon sequestered in trees (Xu and Mitchell, 2011). This study reveals the fact that in areas with trees and shrubs, the level of carbon dioxide is relatively low compared to areas without trees or shrubs. This fact is further proven by the difference in the means of the two areas compared. For instance, in LASUTH-Bank Anthony location, the mean carbon dioxide in areas without trees or shrub was 17.10 $\pm$ 0.06 mg/ml while areas within the same location but with trees and shrubs have a carbon dioxide concentration of 6.91 $\pm$ 1.73 mg/ml. Even Secretariat which could be said to be the location with least sequestration potential (having a value of 11.10 $\pm$ 0.06 mg/ml and 5.27 $\pm$ 0.97 mg/ml for areas without and with trees and shrubs respectively) still has high carbon sequestration potential. The main factor that could be responsible for these great differences in sequestration function performed by the different plant species in these areas is their ability to act as CO₂ sink; they do this by acting as sink for CO₂, fixing carbon during photosynthesis and storing excess carbon as biomass. The finding here agrees with that of Lemon (1983) who worked on Bio façade and asserts that as Bio façade grows vertically up the side of the building, they provides more surface area to sink down the carbon dioxide level by photosynthesis.

Almond and masquerade with mean total chlorophyll content of 1.00 $\pm$ 0.02 mg/ml and 0.88 $\pm$ 0.01 mg/ml respectively as shown in Table 2 and 3 are the plants with the highest ability to sequester carbon dioxide. This observation among other factors could be due to morphological characters of the plants, such as girth of the tree or shrub, size, shape and orientation of the leaves as well as the lifespan of the plant. This finding is in consonance with the opinion of previous researchers since Almond and Masquerade trees are far larger in size than others which could at best be regarded as shrubs. For instance, Nowak (1994) asserts that large healthy trees greater than 77 cm in diameter sequester approximately 90 times more carbon



than small healthy trees less than 8cm in diameter. Moreover, large trees with relatively long lifespan will generally have the greatest overall positive effect on carbon dioxide as fossil fuel carbon emission traps/sink resulting from tree planting and removal will happen more frequently. Trees store carbon in their leaves, branches, stems, bark and roots (Johnson and Coburn, 2010, p. 1). Carbon sequestration in trees can provide part of the answer to carbon offsetting, e.g. California State University offsets carbon emissions through quantifying carbon sequestration of its trees (Cox, 2012). This finding agrees with the work of Villiers *et al.* (2014) in which they calculated the carbon sequestered in trees on the main campus of KIWI University and estimated the annual expected sequestration over the next ten years.

V. CONCLUSION

The result of this research shows that sequestration of carbon dioxide in the metropolis is not negotiable. Urban trees and shrubs can play a significant role in helping to reduce atmospheric carbon dioxide levels. Carbon is absorbed and assimilated by tree foliage and is stored as carbon- rich organic compounds such as cellulose and hemicelluloses, lignin, starch, lipid and waxes mostly in secondary woody tissues in tree boles and in large roots, as well as in foliage, branches and fine roots. Our results and findings showed that these plant species absorb significant amount of carbon dioxide from the metropolis.

Furthermore, the study revealed that; of all the plant species used in the beautification and greening exercise in the study area, Almond and Masquerade have the highest capability to sequester carbon dioxide. The most important revelation from these is that trees in the study area have greater carbon dioxide sequestration potential than shrubs. Also there is need for more urban greening and beautification activity and as such the Local and State governments should continuously embark on trees and shrubs planting since it remains one of the cheapest, most effective means of sequestering excess CO₂ from the atmosphere as well as in beautification of buildings and cities.

Despite the limited usefulness of carbon sequestration in trees, planting more trees like Almond and Masquerade trees form the basis for a formal and on-going carbon management programme, with the potential to do more. This research provides a useful foundation for future action on carbon sequestration in the state and country at large. It could form the basis to inform the on-going management of carbon sequestration, including the planning of new planting and decisions regarding the management of mature trees to improve the state's and country's environmental reputation.

VI. REFERENCES

1. Solomon, S., Qin, D., Manning M., (2007). Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. New York: Cambridge University Press, (Pp.1-93).
2. IPCC, (2013). Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis, available at: www.climatechange2013.org/images/uploads/WGI_AR5_SPM_brochure.pdf
3. Speer, B.R. (1997). "Photosynthesis pigments". UCMP Glossary (online). University of California Museum of Paleontology. Available in March, 2007.
4. Sedjo, R.A. and Marland, G. (2003). "Inter-trading permanent emissions credits and rented temporary carbon emissions offsets: some issues and alternatives", *Climate Policy*, **3** (4), (pp. 435-444).
5. Fang, S., Gloor, M., Mahiman, J., Pacala, S., Sarmiento, J., Takahashi, T. and Tans, P. (2007). A large terrestrial carbon sinks in North America implied by atmospheric and oceanic carbon dioxide data and models. *Science*, **282**: (pp. 442-446).
6. Andrasko, K. (1990). Climate Change and Global Forests: An overview of current knowledge of Potential Effects, Adaption and Mitigation Options. *Unasylva*, **41**: (pp. 3-11).
7. Brown, S., Hall, C.A.S., Knabe, W., Raich, J., Trexler, M.C. and Woormer, P. (1993). Tropical forests: their past, present, and potential role in the terrestrial Carbon budget. *Water, Air and Soil Pollution*, **70**: (pp. 71-94).
8. Cannell, M.G.R., Mime, R., Haigreaves, K.J., Brown, T.A.W., Cruickshank, M.M., Bradley, R.I., Spencer, T., Hope, D., Billet, M.F., Adger, W.N., and Subak, S. (1999). National inventories of terrestrial carbon sources and sinks: the UK experience. *Climate Change*, **42** (3): (pp. 505-530).
9. Dai, M.H., Zhai, W.D., and Lu, Z.M. (2004). Regional studies of carbon cycles in China: progress and perspectives. *Advance in Earth Research*, **2**(19): (pp. 120-130).
10. Tiwari, A.K and Singh, J.S. (1987). Analysis of Forest Land use and Vegetation in a part of Cifitl Himalaya, Using Aerial photographs, *Environmental Conserve*, **14**: (pp. 233-244).
11. Brown, S. and Gaston, G. (1995). Use of forest inventories and geographic information systems to estimate biomass density of tropical forests: Application to tropical Africa. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, **38**: (pp. 157-168).
12. Oyedepo, S.A. (2012). On Energy for Sustainable Development in Nigeria. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* **16**: (pp. 2583-2598). <http://www.energysustainsoc.com/content/2/1/15>



13. Nodza, I.G., Onuminya, T.O. and Ogundipe, O.T. (2014). A checklist of tree species growing on akoka campus of University of Lagos, Nigeria. *International Journal of Science, Environment and Technology*, 3 (3): (pp. 1021 – 1034).
14. Akanni. C.O. (1992). Climate in Ogun State of Nigeria in maps (eds). 3. Rex Charles Publication, Ibadan. (Pp.21 - 23).
15. Larson, R.E., Hostetler, R.P. and Edwards, B.H. (1993). Precalculus: A Graphing Approach. D. C. Heath and Company, Lexington, MA. (Pp. 654).
16. Waring, R.H. and Schlesinger, W.H. (1985). Forest Ecosystems: Concepts and Management. Academic Press, Inc. San Diego, CA. (Pp. 340).
17. Arnon, D. I. (1949); Copper Enzymes in Isolated Chloroplasts Polyphenol Oxidase in Beta Vulgaris. *Plant Physiol.* 24(1): (1-15).
18. Lemon, E.R. (1983). CO₂ and Plants. The response of plants to rising levels of atmospheric carbon dioxide. In: Lemon, E.R (eds). AAAS Selected Symposium, 84. Westview Press, Boulder, CO.
19. Xu, B. and Mitchell, N. (2011), “Carbon sequestration by trees on the city campus”, unpublished working paper, The University of Auckland, Auckland.
20. Nowak, D.J. (1994). Atmospheric carbon dioxide reduction by Chicago’s urban forest. In: McPherson, E.G., Nowak, D.J., Rowntree, R.A. (Eds.), Chicago’s Urban Forest Ecosystem: Results of the Chicago Urban Forest Climate Project. USDA Forest Service General Technical Report NE-186, Radnor, PA, (pp. 83-94).
21. Villiers, C.D., Chen, S., Chenxing, J. and Yiner, Z. (2014). Carbon sequestered in the trees on a university campus: a case study. *Sustainability Accounting, Management and Policy Journal*, 5(2): (pp. 149-171). DOI 10.1108/SAMPJ-11-2013-0048.
22. Cox, H.M. (2012). “A sustainability initiative to quantify carbon sequestration by campus trees”, *Journal of Geography*, 111(5): (pp. 173-183).
23. Johnson, I. and Coburn, R. (2010). Trees for Carbon Sequestration, Vol. 19, available at: www.dpi.nsw.gov.au/data/assets/pdf_file/0003/317559/Trees-for-carbon-sequestration.pdf (accessed 19 August 2012).

IJEAST

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL
OF ENGINEERING APPLIED SCIENCE
AND TECHNOLOGY

ABOUT IJEAST

International Journal of Engineering Applied Science and Technology (IJEAST) is a peer-reviewed, open access journal that publishes high-quality research papers in the field of Engineering, Applied Science and Technology.

IJEAST aims to provide a platform for researchers, academicians, and professionals to share their innovative ideas, research findings, and practical experiences with the global scientific community.

FOCUS AREAS

- Engineering
- Applied Science
- Technology
- Innovation & Development
- Interdisciplinary Studies



PEER REVIEWED

All submissions are rigorously peer reviewed to ensure quality.



OPEN ACCESS

Free and unrestricted access to research for all.



GLOBAL REACH

Connecting researchers and professionals worldwide.



TIMELY PUBLICATION

We ensure a swift and efficient publication process.



For more information, visit our website

www.ijeast.com



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL
OF ENGINEERING APPLIED SCIENCE
AND TECHNOLOGY

✉ editor@ijeast.com

🌐 www.ijeast.com

📍 India



2455-2143