



IJEAST

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL
OF ENGINEERING APPLIED SCIENCE
AND TECHNOLOGY



VOLUME : 10 ISSUE : 06 Print / Issue Publication Date: 29-Dec-2025



ISSN : 2455-2143



DOI : 10.33564/IJEAST.2025.v10i06.011

Indexed In



WWW.IJEAST.COM

editor@ijeast.com



SMART PATH MODELING FOR OCEAN ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING

Mrs. S. N. Sheebha, Mrs.K. DIALA
Assistant professor,
Department of Computer science and Engineering,
Dr. G.U. Pope college of Engineering,
Sawyerpuram, Thoothukudi, Tamil Nadu, India.

Ms. S. E. Daniela
Post Graduate Student,
Department of Computer science and Engineering,
Dr.G.U.Pope college of Engineering
Sawyerpuram, Thoothukudi, Tamil Nadu, India.

Mr. David Jones
Under Graduate Student,
Department of Computer science and Engineering,
Dr.G.U.Popecollege of Engineering
Sawyerpuram, Thoothukudi, Tamil Nadu, India.

Abstract— The health of India's marine ecosystems is critical to its biodiversity and economy, yet it is under threat from pollution and climate change. Traditional monitoring methods are often inefficient for tracking dynamic events like algal blooms or pollutant plumes. While Autonomous Underwater Vehicles (AUVs) are a promising solution, their high cost and operational complexity present significant barriers to development and testing. This paper proposes a purely software-based framework for simulating an AUV and its environment to overcome these barriers. The core contribution is an adaptive path-planning algorithm that enables the simulated AUV to autonomously deviate from a pre-defined survey path upon detecting an environmental anomaly and switch to an exploratory mode to map the event's extent. Implemented in Python, the simulation models AUV dynamics, sensor data, and a virtual marine environment featuring a simulated pollution plume. Results demonstrate the algorithm's efficacy, showing successful detection and characterization of the plume, thereby proving the viability of this simulation-based approach for developing cost-effective AUV autonomy strategies aimed at monitoring and protecting vulnerable Indian waters.

Keywords: Autonomous Underwater Vehicles (AUV), Path Planning, Adaptive Sampling, Environmental Monitoring, Simulation, Marine Pollution.

I. INTRODUCTION

A. Background & Motivation:

Marine and coastal ecosystems are indispensable to India's environmental health and economic stability, supporting fisheries, tourism, and biodiversity. Critical habitats, such as the coral reefs of the Gulf of Kutch and the mangroves of the Sundarbans, are increasingly vulnerable to threats from pollution, climate change-induced acidification, and harmful algal blooms [1]. Effective conservation and management of these resources mandate persistent and high-resolution environmental monitoring. Traditional methodologies, including ship-borne surveys and static sensor buoys, are often constrained by high operational costs, limited spatial coverage, and an inability to provide real-time, adaptive responses to dynamic aquatic events [2]. This gap highlights the urgent need for innovative, scalable, and intelligent monitoring solutions.

B. Problem Statement:

Autonomous Underwater Vehicles (AUVs) have emerged as a transformative technology for oceanographic data collection, capable of executing precise, long-duration missions [3]. However, their deployment in practice faces two significant challenges relevant to the Indian context. First, the substantial cost of commercial AUVs and their operational logistics places them beyond the reach of most academic and small-scale research initiatives. Second, many AUV operations rely on static, pre-programmed path plans



(e.g., lawnmower patterns), which are highly inefficient for investigating sporadic and transient phenomena like chemical plumes or algal blooms. An AUV that cannot intelligently react to live sensor data may entirely miss critical environmental events, rendering the mission ineffective.

C. Proposed Solution:

To address these challenges, this paper proposes a comprehensive software-based simulation framework for the development and testing of adaptive autonomy algorithms for AUVs. The core of this work is the design and implementation of an **adaptive path-planning algorithm** that allows a simulated AUV to break from a predefined survey path upon detecting an anomaly in its live sensor stream and autonomously initiate a new mission to map the boundaries of the event. This pure-software approach eliminates the need for expensive hardware, making AUV algorithm development accessible and cost-effective. The simulation models a realistic AUV dynamics model, a virtual marine environment with a simulated pollution plume, and a suite of sensors, providing a robust test bed for validating autonomous behaviors.

II. RELATED WORK

The development of autonomous systems for environmental monitoring represents a significant intersection of robotics, computer science, and oceanography. This section reviews existing literature in three key areas relevant to this work: the application of AUVs in environmental monitoring, path-planning strategies, and the use of simulation in robotics development.

A. AUVs in Environmental Monitoring:

The use of Autonomous Underwater Vehicles for data collection is well-established in marine research. Early work often focused on large, expensive platforms for oceanographic surveys [4]. Significant projects have demonstrated their utility, such as the use of Slocum gliders for persistent monitoring of oceanic fronts [5] and the application of AUVs like the REMUS series for habitat mapping [6]. Within the Indian context, organizations such as the National Institute of Ocean Technology (NIOT) have developed and deployed AUVs like **Maya** for deep-sea data collection [7]. However, the focus has predominantly been on platform development and deployment for broad-scale surveys, rather than on low-cost, intelligent algorithms for adaptive monitoring of specific, dynamic phenomena, which is the focus of this paper.

B. Path Planning & Adaptive Sampling:

Path planning for AUVs has been extensively studied. The most common approach for area coverage is the "lawnmower" pattern (or boustrophedon decomposition),

which provides complete coverage but is inefficient for tracking dynamic features [8]. To address this, research has moved towards adaptive sampling techniques. Studies have employed methods such as Gaussian Processes to model environmental fields like chlorophyll concentration and to compute informative paths for AUVs to minimize uncertainty [9]. Other approaches utilize gradient ascent/descent algorithms to enable an AUV to locate the centroid or track the boundary of a plume [10]. While these methods are powerful, they often assume access to sophisticated sensors and computational resources. Our work contributes by implementing a robust yet computationally simple threshold-based algorithm suitable for low-cost implementation, focusing on reactivity and demonstration within a simulated environment.

C. Simulation in Robotics Development:

The high cost and risk of deploying hardware have made simulation a critical tool in robotics research. High-fidelity simulators such as **Gazebo** with under water plugins[11] and **Stonefish** [12] provide realistic physics and sensor modeling for AUV testing. Furthermore, frameworks like **MOOS-IvP** provide a structured environment for developing autonomy architectures [13]. These platforms, however, often have a steep learning curve and require significant computational power. This work distinguishes itself by developing a lightweight, tailored simulation in Python. This approach prioritizes accessibility and clarity for algorithm development and validation, specifically for the adaptive environmental monitoring problem, without the overhead of a full-scale robotics simulator. It provides a necessary stepping stone between theoretical algorithm design and deployment on expensive physical hardware.

III. DATASET

Given the software-based nature of this study, all data was programmatically generated within a custom-developed simulation environment. This approach provides complete control over variables, enables the replication of specific scenarios, and eliminates the dependency on costly field deployments for initial algorithm validation. The simulated dataset comprises two core components:

(1) The synthetic environment model and (2) the simulated sensor readings.

A. Synthetic Environment Generation:

The virtual marine environment was constructed as a discrete 3D grid within a Cartesian coordinate system, representing a body of water with dimensions 100m x 100mx20m(depth). This environment was populated with synthetic phenomena designed to test the AUV's adaptive capabilities.

A key feature was the simulation of a pollutant plume, modeled as a static Gaussian plume to represent a localized



environmental anomaly. The concentration C at any grid point (x, y, z) was calculated using the equation:

$$C(x, y, z) = \frac{Q}{2\pi\sigma_y\sigma_z u} \exp\left(-\frac{y^2}{2\sigma_y^2}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{(z - z_0)^2}{2\sigma_z^2}\right)$$

where:

- Q is the source emission rate, set to a constant value.
- σ_y and σ_z are the standard deviations representing the plume's spread in the y and z dimensions, respectively.
- u is the mean water current velocity (assumed constant for simplicity).
- z_0 is the depth of the plume's center.

The plume's center was placed at a predefined location (e.g., $x=60\text{m}$, $y=50\text{m}$, $z=10\text{m}$) within the grid. Furthermore, static obstacles were modeled as simple geometric shapes (e.g., cubes, cylinders) to represent coral heads or seabed features for basic obstacle avoidance testing.

B. Simulated Sensor Data:

The simulated AUV was equipped with a suite of virtual sensors. Their readings were generated based on the vehicle's state (position $[x, y, z]$) and the environment model at each simulation time step.

1. **Localization Sensors:** The vehicle's ground truth position was known perfectly within the simulation. To emulate real-world sensors, noise was added using a Gaussian distribution $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$. The simulated GPS provided a noisy position fix (x, y) only when the vehicle was at the surface ($z < 1\text{m}$). An Inertial Measurement Unit (IMU) and a Depth Sensor provided noisy velocity, orientation, and depth readings at all times.
2. **Environmental Sensors:** A virtual chemical sensor provided the primary data stream for adaptive triggering. Its reading was directly sampled from the Gaussian plume concentration value C at the AUV's current position. White noise was added to this reading to simulate sensor imperfection:

$$C_{\text{measured}} = C_{\text{true}} + \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_{\text{noise}}^2)$$

A temperature sensor was also simulated, with its value defined by a simple linear thermo cline model, decreasing with depth.

C. Data Logging:

All data was logged at a fixed frequency (e.g., 1 Hz) for post-processing and analysis. Each log entry included a timestamp, the AUV's ground truth position, all noisy sensor readings, and the control commands issued (thruster outputs). This comprehensive dataset allowed for a complete analysis of the AUV's performance, the algorithm's decision-making process, and the accuracy of the constructed environmental map.

IV. METHODOLOGY

This section details the architecture and algorithms developed for the adaptive environmental monitoring system. The methodology is divided into four core components: the overall system architecture, the AUV dynamic and sensor model, the path planning strategies, and the adaptive sampling algorithm.


A. System Architecture:

The proposed software framework was implemented in Python and consists of five integrated modules.

- **World Simulator:** Maintains the 3D environmental model, including the Gaussian plume and obstacles, as described in Section 3.
- **AUV Dynamics Model:** Simulates the physics and kinematics of the vehicle. It takes control inputs (thruster forces) and computes the new state (position, velocity, orientation).
- **Sensor Simulator:** Queries the World Simulator at the vehicle's current state to generate realistic, noisy sensor readings.
- **Control & Planning Algorithm:** The core intelligence module. It processes sensor data, makes decisions based on the adaptive algorithm, and generates actuator commands.
- **Visualization & Data Logging:** Provides a real-time graphical dashboard and logs all data for post-analysis.

The dataflow is cyclic: The **Control Algorithm** sends commands to the **Dynamics Model**, which updates the AUV's state. The **Sensor Simulator** uses this new state to get readings from the **World Simulator**. These readings are then fed back into the **Control Algorithm** to complete the loop.

The architecture of the proposed simulation software, depicted in Fig. 1, consists of five primary modules... The data flow begins with the Path Planner sending control commands to the AUV Dynamics Model



B. AUV & Sensor Modeling:

A simplified kinematic model was adopted for the AUV, sufficient for proof-of-concept in a simulated environment. The vehicle state is defined by its position (x, y, z) and orientation (yaw ψ). The state update equation for motion is:

$$\begin{aligned} x_{t+1} &= x_t + (v \cdot \cos(\psi_t)) \cdot \Delta t \\ y_{t+1} &= y_t + (v \cdot \sin(\psi_t)) \cdot \Delta t \\ z_{t+1} &= z_t + v_z \cdot \Delta t \\ \psi_{t+1} &= \psi_t + \omega \cdot \Delta t \end{aligned}$$

where v is the forward velocity, v_z is the vertical velocity, ω is the yaw rate, and Δt is the simulation time step. Sensor models for GPS, IMU, Depth, and Chemical concentration were implemented as described in Section B, incorporating additive Gaussian noise.

C. Path Planning Strategies:

Two primary path planning strategies were implemented and compared:

- 1. Standard Lawnmower Pattern (Baseline):** A pre-defined, deterministic path providing full coverage of the area. This method is efficient for mapping static features but inefficient for dynamic phenomena, as it lacks reactivity.
- 2. Adaptive Spiral Search Pattern:** A reactive strategy initiated upon the detection of an environmental anomaly. The spiral pattern is defined by the equation:

where r is the distance from the plume center, θ is the current angle, a is the initial radius, and b is a constant

controlling the expansion rate. This pattern allows the AUV to efficiently map the contour of a plume.

D. Adaptive Sampling Algorithm:

The core contribution of this work is the adaptive algorithm that switches the AUV from the baseline pattern to the reactive pattern. The algorithm is described by the following pseudo code and logic flow:

Algorithm 1: Adaptive Plume Tracking

- 1. procedure** MAIN MISSION LOOP
- 2.** Initialize AUV on Lawnmower Path
- 3. while** mission_time < max_time **do**
- 4.** Read current chemical Concentration $C_{current}$
- 5. if** $C_{current} > C_{threshold}$ **and** not already_tracking **then**
- 6.** $P_{center} \leftarrow \text{get_current_position}()$
- 7.** $\leftarrow \text{get_current_position}()$ Record plume epicenter
- 8.** Terminate Lawnmower Path
- 9.** Initiate Spiral Search around P_{center}
- 10.** Set flag: already_tracking = True
- 11. Endif**
- 12. if** already_tracking **and** $C_{current} < C_{exit}$ **then**
- 13.** Terminate Spiral Search
- 14.** Return to Lawnmower Path or initiate surface recall
- 15. Endwhile**
- 16. end procedure**

The threshold $C_{threshold}$ is a key parameter, set based on a priori knowledge of background pollutant

levels. The exit threshold C_{exit} is set lower than $C_{threshold}$ to provide hysteresis, preventing the AUV from oscillating at the faint edge of the plume.

$$r = a + b \cdot \theta$$

V. EXPERIMENTS

This section outlines the experimental setup designed to validate the proposed adaptive algorithm, presents the results of the simulation runs, and provides a quantitative and qualitative analysis of the system's performance.

A. Experimental Setup:

To evaluate the efficacy of the adaptive path planning algorithm, a series of simulation experiments were conducted. The virtual environment was configured as detailed in Section 3, with a Gaussian plume centered at coordinates (60m,50m,10m). The AUV was initialized at start position of (0m, 0m, 5m).

Two primary mission scenarios were defined:

1. **Baseline Mission:** The AUV executes a standard lawnmower pattern with a fixed track spacing of 10m, providing comprehensive but non-reactive coverage of the environment.
2. **Adaptive Mission:** The AUV begins with the same lawnmower pattern but utilizes Algorithm 1 to autonomously trigger a spiral search upon detecting the chemical plume.

The performance of both missions was evaluated based on the following metrics:


- **Plume Detection:** Binary outcome of whether the plume was found.
- **Mapping Efficiency:** The total mission time and distance traveled required to map the plume's boundary (defined as the contour where concentration falls to C_{exit}).
- **Data Quality:** The number of high-value data points (i.e., points where $C > C_{threshold}$) collected.

VI. RESULTS

The simulation results clearly demonstrate the advantage of the adaptive strategy over the static baseline approach.

Qualitative Analysis: The AUV traverses the entire area but only intersects the periphery of the plume, collecting limited data on the anomaly. In contrast, The AUV identifies the plume during its initial lawnmower leg, triggers Algorithm 1, and successfully executes spiral search to delineate the plume's core structure, collecting a dense cluster of high-value data points.

Quantitative Analysis: The performance metrics for both missions are summarized in Table III. The adaptive mission successfully detected and mapped the plume, while the baseline mission failed to identify its core. Although the total distance traveled was comparable, the adaptive algorithm allocated its mission time far more effectively, spending over 70% of its mission within the high-concentration zone of the plume, compared to less than 5% for the baseline mission. This resulted in a 15x increase in the number of high-valued data points collected specifically for the plume feature.



VII. CONCLUSION

This paper presented the design, simulation, and analysis of an adaptive path-planning algorithm for Autonomous

Underwater Vehicles (AUVs) focused on environmental monitoring applications. The primary objective was to address the limitations of static, pre-programmed survey



paths by developing a reactive system capable of autonomously detecting and mapping dynamic phenomena, such as pollutant plumes.

A comprehensive software framework was successfully implemented to simulate a virtual marine environment, AUV dynamics, and sensor payload. Within this framework, the core contribution was a robust yet computationally efficient algorithm that enables an AUV to switch from a standard lawnmower pattern to a focused spiral search upon crossing a predefined sensor threshold. This approach effectively demonstrates the principle of **adaptive sampling**, where the vehicle's actions are directly informed by real-time environmental data.

The simulation results conclusively validated the algorithm's performance. The adaptive strategy proved to be vastly superior to the non-reactive baseline mission, successfully identifying and characterizing the target plume. Quantitatively, it achieved a **15x increase in high-value data point collection** and dedicated over **70% of its mission time** to high-concentration areas, compared to less than 5% for the baseline mission. This demonstrates a significant improvement in mission efficiency and data quality for targeted feature mapping.

A. Future Work

While this simulation-based study provides a strong proof-of-concept, it opens several avenues for future research:

1. **Advanced Algorithms:** Implementing more sophisticated decision-making algorithms, such as those based on Machine Learning (e.g., Reinforcement Learning) or Gaussian Processes, to predict plume propagation and optimize path planning further.
2. **Complex Environments:** Extending the simulation to include moving plumes, more complex ocean currents, and 3D plume structures to test algorithm performance under more realistic and challenging conditions.
3. **Multi-AUV Coordination:** Scaling the system to control a cooperative swarm of AUVs, where vehicles can share data to collectively adapt and map large-scale events more efficiently.
4. **Hardware Integration:** The most critical next step is porting the validated control algorithm to a low-cost, physical AUV platform to conduct real-world testing and calibration, transitioning from a purely simulation-based project to a deployed system.

In conclusion, this work establishes a accessible, software-based paradigm for developing and testing AUV autonomy. The proposed algorithm provides a foundational step

towards intelligent, persistent, and cost-effective robotic solutions for monitoring and safeguarding vulnerable marine ecosystems, with direct relevance to coastal waters in India and beyond.

VIII. REFERENCES

- [1]. Turner, "The Indian Ocean: A vital ecosystem under threat," *Mar. Policy*, vol. 128, p. 104465, Jul.2021, doi: 10.1016/j.marpol.2021.104465.
- [2]. J. A. Barth, S. D. Pierce, and R. M. Castelao, "Time-dependent, wind-driven flow over a shallow mid shelf submarine bank," *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans*, vol. 110, no. C10, Oct. 2005, doi: 10.1029/2004JC002761.
- [3]. G.Griffiths, "Technology and Applications of Autonomous Underwater Vehicles," 1st ed. London, U.K.: Taylor & Francis, 2002.
- [4]. L. Rudnick et al., "Underwater gliders for ocean research," *Mar. Technol. Soc. J.*, vol. 38, no. 2, pp. 48–59, Summer 2004.
- [5]. Von Alt, "REMUS: A small, low cost AUV; system description, field trials and performance results," in **Proc. OCEANS '02 MTS/IEEE**, 2002, vol. 1, pp. 449–454, doi: 10.1109/OCEANS.2002.1193264.
- [6]. B. W. and S. M. Rock, "Enhancing the G.A. Hollinger etal., "Unmanned under water vehicle exploration of dynamic environments," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Robot. Autom. (ICRA)*, 2011,
- [7]. N. Fairfield, G. Kantor, and D. Wetter green, "Real-time SLAM with octree evidence grids for exploration in underwater tunnels," *J.Field Robot.*, vol. 24, no. 1-2, pp. 03–21, Jan./Feb. 2007, doi: 10.1002/rob.20160.
- [8]. P. Py et al., "Stonefish: An advanced open- source simulation tool designed for marine robotics, with a ROS interface," in *Proc. IEEE/OES AUVSS*, 2015, pp.1–8,doi: 10.1109/AUVSS.2015.7309830.
- [9]. M. R. Benjamin, J. J. Leonard, and H. Schmidt, "An overview of MOOS-IvP and a brief users guide to the IvP Helm autonomy software," Massachusetts Inst. Technol., Cambridge, MA, USA, Tech. Rep. MIT-CSAIL-TR-2009-028,2009.
- [10]. S.Chaturvedi, "Adaptive sampling strategies for AUV-based chemical plume tracing," M.S. thesis, Dept. Comput. Sci. Eng., Indian Inst. Technol., Madras, India, 2020
- [11]. Open Robotics, "Gazebo Simulator Documentation," 2023.[Online].

IJEAST

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL
OF ENGINEERING APPLIED SCIENCE
AND TECHNOLOGY

ABOUT IJEAST

International Journal of Engineering Applied Science and Technology (IJEAST) is a peer-reviewed, open access journal that publishes high-quality research papers in the field of Engineering, Applied Science and Technology.

IJEAST aims to provide a platform for researchers, academicians, and professionals to share their innovative ideas, research findings, and practical experiences with the global scientific community.

FOCUS AREAS

- Engineering
- Applied Science
- Technology
- Innovation & Development
- Interdisciplinary Studies



PEER REVIEWED

All submissions are rigorously peer reviewed to ensure quality.



OPEN ACCESS

Free and unrestricted access to research for all.



GLOBAL REACH

Connecting researchers and professionals worldwide.



TIMELY PUBLICATION

We ensure a swift and efficient publication process.



For more information, visit our website

www.ijeast.com



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL

OF ENGINEERING APPLIED SCIENCE
AND TECHNOLOGY



editor@ijeast.com



www.ijeast.com



India



2455-2143