

STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS AND DESIGN OF PUMP HOUSE

Makani Alleiah

Master of Technology in Structural Engineering,
Audisankara College of Engineering and Technology (Autonomous),
Gudur, S.P.S.R Nellore, A.P, India.

Y. Sreekanth

Assistant professor, Dept. of Civil Engineering
Audisankara College of Engineering and Technology (Autonomous),
Gudur, S.P.S.R Nellore, A.P, India.

Abstract: The main objective of the project is to analyze and design a lift irrigation scheme structure-Pump House using staad.pro. The design of Pump House involves manual load calculations and the whole structure is analyzed by staad.pro. LSM limit state method is used in staad.pro analysis conforming to IS-code of practice. This project covers the Primary investigation of soil strata and the geotechnical properties of soil to choose the type of foundation and then the Analysis and design of a LIS Pump house 38.520M X 21.040M that include MCC building motor control center, switch gear room, pipe galley done by STAAD.PRO. All the components which are in contact with water are designed in working stress method and, the superstructure RC members are designed as per limit state method of design as per IS: 456-2000. The analysis and design of the Pump house is carried out by software STAAD.PRO V8i. In order to design, it is important to obtain the GAD general arrangement drawings of the particular structure that is positioning of the particular components. The different types of loads consider in this design are DEAD LOAD, LIVE LOAD, EARTHQUAKELOAD, SOILPRESSURE, WINDLOAD, WATER LOAD, OPERATING LOAD. Once the loads are obtained, the components take the load first i.e. the slab can be designed. Designing of slab depends upon whether it is one way or two-way slab. Then the beams and columns as well as footings will be designed. The frame analysis is done by STAAD.PRO.

Key words: Pump house, working stress method, limit state method, STAAD. Pro V8i, Earthquake analysis.

I. INTRODUCTION

The project comprises of design of Pump House using STAAD.Pro. Structural design is the primary aspect of civil engineering. The very basis of construction of any Pump houses, residential house or dams, bridges, culverts, canals etc. is designing. Structural engineering has existed since humans first started to construct their own structures. The foremost basic in structural engineering is the design of simple basic components and members of a Pump house viz., Slabs, Beams, Columns, Wall and Footings. In order to design them, it is important to first obtain the general arrangement drawing of the particular structure that is, positioning of the particular Pumps (control panel room, office room, battery room, store room, outlet pipe, suction and delivery pipes etc.) such that they serve their respective purpose and also suiting to the requirement and comfort of the inhabitants. Thereby depending on the suitability; plan layout of beams and the position of columns are fixed. Thereafter, the loads are calculated namely the dead loads, which depend on the unit weight of the materials used (concrete, brick) and the live loads, which according to the code IS: 875-1987 is around 2 kN/m². Once the loads are obtained, the component takes the load first i.e. the slabs can be designed. Designing of slabs depends upon whether it is a one-way or a two-way slab, the end conditions and the loading. From the slabs, the loads are transferred to the beam. The loads coming from the slabs onto the beam may be trapezoidal or triangular. Depending on this, the beam may be designed. Thereafter, the loads (mainly shear) from the beams are taken by the columns. For designing columns, it is necessary to know the moments they are subjected to. For this purpose, frame analysis is done by STAAD PRO SOFTWARE. Later, the footings are designed

based on the loading from the column and also the soil bearing capacity value for that particular area. Most importantly, the sections must be checked for all the four components with regard to strength and serviceability. Overall, the concepts and procedures of designing the basic components of a Pump House are described. Apart from that, the GAD of the structure with regard to appropriate directions for the respective rooms, choosing position of beams and columns are also properly explained. The structure shall be constructed in reinforced concrete. The structure

Comprises of columns, Beams and RCC slabs at Floors. The structure shall be designed to satisfy the functional requirements as well as all the relevant Indian Standards and other applicable building norms. The intent of this document is to record all the relevant assumptions and technical criteria for the structural analysis and design of the Pump house. The object is to achieve flexibility, durability and economy without sacrificing safety.

II. ANALYSIS AND DESIGN OF PUMP HOUSE

2.1 Properties:

The structure consists of different sizes of beams and columns. There are 6 types of plates were assumed of different thicknesses for end wall and pier wall and back walls of the Pump house.

Plate1=0.6*0.6*0.6*0.6 m

Plate2=0.5*0.5*0.5*0.5m

Plate3=0.75*0.75*0.75*0.75m

Plate 4,5, 6=0.3*0.3*0.3*0.3 m

Maximum size of the rectangle section=0.90*0.95m

Minimum size of the rectangle section=0.45*0.30m

2.2 Building Parameters:

Pump house = 38.5m*9.04m

Mcc building = 38.5m*12.0m

Pump house raft bottom level = +191.00m

Pump house top level = +212.500m

Grade of Concrete M30 for up to Pump Floor Level and M25 for above Pump Floor Level. Grade of Steel - TMT Fe 500. The supports were taken as fixed.

Design Philosophy: All the components which are in contact with water are designed in working stress method. The super structure RC members are designed as per limit state method as per IS 456:2000.

2.3 Self Weights: The self weight of the members is auto generated by staad.pro by taking factor as 1.

2.4 Dead Load:

Brick wall load =133.14KN/M

Slab load (including all slabs) =67.65 KN/m²

2.5 Live Load:

Loads on the slab

Live load on Pump floor slab=10kn/m²

Live load on switchgear room=5kn/m²

Live load on top roof slab=1.5kn/m²

2.6 Wind Loads:

Wind forces in +X and +Z and - X and -Z directions are calculated as per IS 875 PART3.

Basic wind speed=39m/sec

Probability factor $k_1=1.07$

Terrain, height, structure size factor $K_2=1.1$

Topography factor $k_3=1$

Design wind speed $V_z = V_b * k_1 * K_2 * k_3 = 45.90 \text{ m/sec}$

Design wind pressure $P_z = 0.6 * V_z^2 = 1.26 \text{ KN/M}^2$

2.7 Seismic Loads:

The seismic loads were calculated as per the Indian standard code Of IS-1893:2002 for the seismic zone-III static earthquake analysis is done based on the factors considered above and IS1893:2002 code. Earthquake forces in X and Z directions are considered in analysis.

Parameters:

Foundation Soil Type	1
Seismic Zone -	III
Zone Factor - (Z) -	0.16
Response Reduction Factor-(RF) -	5.0
Importance Factor - (I) -	1.5
DAMPING PERCENT - (DM) -	5

2.8 Water Loads:

Horizontal water pressure at wall bottom = 70 KN/M^2

Vertical water pressure on raft = 70 KN/M^2

2.9 Soil Loads:

Earth load on wall due to surcharge= 6.7 kn/m^2

Earth load on raft projection due to surcharge= 20 KN/m^2

2.9 operating load-crane loads

Total dynamic load of Pump and motor load (horizontal) = $M=1130.21 \text{ KN}$

Moment is applied to the beams= 304.026 KN-M

The load combinations are applied for both limit state of serviceability and limit state of collapse.

III. LOAD COMBINATIONS

Following are the some of the load combinations used in the structural design

LIMIT STATE OF SERVICEABILITY

LOAD COMB 1: 1 DL + 1 LL

LOAD COMB2: 1 DL + 1 LL + 1 WL

LOAD COMB 3: 1 DL + 1 LL + 1 EL

LOAD COMB 4: 1 DL + 1 LL + 1 WL + 1 OPT 1

LOAD COMB 5: 1 DL + 1 LL + 1 WL + 1 OPT 2

LIMIT STATE COLLAPSE

LOAD COMB 1: 1.5 DL + 1.5 LL

LOAD COMB 2: 1.5 DL + 1.5 LL + 1.5 WL

LOAD COMB 3: 1.5 DL + 1.5 LL + 1.5 EL

LOAD COMB4: 1.5 DL + 1.5 LL + 1.5 WL + 1.5 OPT 1

LOAD COMB5: 1.5 DL + 1.5 LL + 1.5 WL + 1.5 OPT 2

IV. RESULTS

Design details of Pump floor slab:

Effective span in shorter direction, $L_x=2.5 \text{ m}$

Effective span in longer direction, $L_y=4 \text{ m}$

$L_y/L_x = 1.6 < 2$ two way slab

Type of panel = interior panel

Short span coefficient, α_x

Positive moment t mid span, $\alpha_x = 0.0410$

Negative moment at continuous edge, $\alpha_x = 0.0550$

Long span coefficient, α_y

Positive moment t mid span, $\alpha_y = 0.024$

Negative moment at continuous edge, $\alpha_y = 0.032$

Overall thickness of the slab = 200 mm

Diameter of the bar used, $\phi = 10 \text{ mm}$

Area of rebar = 78.54 mm^2

Clear cover = 50 mm

Effective depth of slab, $d = 145 \text{ mm}$

Grade of concrete $f_{ck} = 25 \text{ N/mm}^2$

Grade of steel, $F_y = 500 \text{ N/mm}^2$

Concrete density = 25 KN/m^3

Total factored load, $w = 25.50 \text{ KN/m}^2$

Mid span reinforcement along short span:

Percentage of reinforcement required = 0.73%

Area of steel required = 105.85 mm^2

Spacing required = 741.62 mm

Area of steel provided = $\phi 10 \text{ mm @ } 200 \text{ c/c}$

Percentage of reinforcement required = $0.271\% > 0.12\%$ (minimum % of steel)

Support reinforcement along short span:

Percentage of reinforcement required = 0.098%

Area of steel required = 142.10 mm^2

Spacing required = 552.43 mm

Area of steel provided = $\phi 10 \text{ mm @ } 200 \text{ c/c}$

Percentage of reinforcement required = $0.271\% > 0.12\%$ (minimum % of steel)

Mid span reinforcement along long span:

Percentage of reinforcement required = 0.070%

Area of steel required = 101.50 mm^2

Spacing required = 773.65 mm

Area of steel provided = $\phi 10 \text{ mm @ } 200 \text{ c/c}$

Percentage of reinforcement required = $0.271\% > 0.12\%$ (minimum % of steel)

Support reinforcement along long span:

Percentage of reinforcement required = 0.07%

Area of steel required = 101.50 mm^2

Spacing required = 773.65 mm

Area of steel provided = $\phi 10 \text{ mm @ } 200 \text{ c/c}$

Percentage of reinforcement required = $0.271\% > 0.12\%$ (minimum % of steel)

Check for shear force:

Maximum shear force intensity in either direction = 24.3 kn

Nominal shear stress, $T_v = 0.17 \text{ N/mm}^2$

Percentage of tensile reinforcement provided = 0.271%

Shear strength of M-25 concrete, $T_c = 0.432 \text{ N/mm}^2$

Shear strength in slabs, $T'_c = 0.562 \text{ N/mm}^2$

Hence $T'_c > T_c$,

Safe in shear

Check for deflection:

Area of steel along short span, Area = 105.85 mm^2

Area of steel provided along short span

, Area = 392.70 mm^2

Steel stress of service load, $f_s = 78.17 \text{ N/mm}^2$

Modification factor, $\alpha = 2$

Required depth, $d = 48.08 \text{ mm} < 145 \text{ mm}$

Safe in deflection

Post processing in STAAD.Pro:

The following are the some of the pictures of the model in staad.pro analysis.




Fig1: lay out of the structure




Fig6: Wind load in +ve X directions




Fig2: generation of member property




Fig7: operating load 1




Fig3: fixed supports of the structure




Fig8: geometry of beam no. 8178




Fig4: 3D rendered view




Fig9: shear bending of beam no. 8178

The figure shows the shear force diagram of the particular beam and the shear forces at the ends of it.




Fig5: Earthquake loading in +ve X directions




Fig10: deflection of beam no. 8178




Fig11: concrete design of beam no. 8178

Beam no 8178 design results:
 Grade of concrete= M-25
 Grade of steel = Fe500
 Length of beam = 8.52m
 Size of beam = 0.50*0.30m
 Top left reinforcement: 3 y20(st)+3 y20(ex)
 Top mid reinforcement= 3 y20
 Top right reinforcement= 3 y20(st)+3 y20(ex)
 Bottom left reinforcement= 3y20
 Bottom mid reinforcement =3y20
 Legs=2 @ 8mm dia at c/c spacing of 100mm
 Legs =2 @8mm dia at c/c spacing of 150mm

V. MODEL POST PROCESSING

The stresses at different points will be analyzed in this mode.




Fig12: BMD Bending Z




Fig13: displacements of the structure




Fig14: plate water pressure




Fig15: support reactions




Fig16: Graph for shear force and bending moment of the beam no. 8178




Fig17: Node displacement summary




Fig18: deflection of the structure in post processing




Fig19: plate center stress

VI. CONCLUSION

The results that are obtained from the manual calculations as well as STAAD.Pro analysis for the structural elements are passed out the checks carried out on them including deflection, shear and bending. Selection of different sections for the element is easy and performing the analysis and getting of results immediately in this software. The use of Computer Aided tools in structural analysis and design has been proven to

be effective from the results output. It was observed that the time for performing the design work is significantly reduced. However, the software programs can be easily misused without observing proper precautions in the analysis and design procedures which can lead to structural failures, costly disputes and poor performing structures. Thus, this explains the importance of comparison between different software packages and more importantly performing hand calculations for like a floor and comparing for the same floor in the software packages. Therefore, it can be concluded that the structure has fulfilled the requirements of limit state of serviceability and limit state of collapse.

VII. REFERENCES

- [1] IS:456:2000- Code of practice for plain and reinforced concrete
- [2] IS 875 (part-I): Indian standard code of practice for design loads (other than earthquake) for building and structures (part-I) Dead loads.
- [3] IS875(part-II): Indian standard code of practice for design loads(other than earthquake) for building and structures(part-I) Imposed loads
- [4] IS875(part-III): Indian standard code of practice for design loads(other than earthquake) for building and structures(part-I) Wind loads
- [5] IS 1893(Part-I): Indian standard criteria for earthquake resistant design of structures general provisions and buildings
- [6] SP:16 : Design aids for reinforced concrete to IS456
- [7] SP:34-1987&IS13920: Hand book on concrete reinforcement and detailing
- [8] IS 3370-2009: Code of practice for concrete structures for the storage of liquids .part-I – general requirements. Part-II- reinforcement concrete structures.
- [9] N .Krishna Raju –“Advanced reinforced concrete design”
- [10]B. Gireesh Babu, "Seismic Analysis and Design of G+7 Residential Building Using STAADPRO", International Journal of Advance Research, Ideas and Innovations in Technology, Volume3, Issue3, 2017.
- [11]Gaurav Kumar, Megha Kalra, "Review Paper On Seismic Analysis Of RCC Frame Structures With Floating Columns" , International journal of advanced technology in engineering and science, Vol. No.4, Special Issue No. 01, February 2016
- [12]Gauri G. Kakpure, Ashok R. Mundhada, "Comparative Study of Static and Dynamic Seismic Analysis of Multistoried RCC Building by ETAB: A Review", International Journal of Emerging Research in Management &Technology, Volume-5, Issue-12, December 2016.
- [13]Gourav Sachdeva, Phrankupar Thabah, Ericton Nonkyngynrih, "Analysis & behavior of RC Building Frame with Different Locations of Floating Columns", International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology, Vol. 5, Issue 6, June 2016.
- [14]Harman, Hemant sood, "Analyzing the Effect of Cross-Sectional Change of Column on Symmetrical R.C.C. Frame Structure" International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT), Vol. 6 Issue 06, June - 2017.
- [15]K Venu Manikanta, Dr. Dumpa Venkateswarlu, "Comparative Study On Design Results Of A Multi-Storied Building Using STAAD Pro And ETABS For Regular And Irregular Plan Configuration", International Journal of Research Sciences and Advanced Engineering, Volume 2, Issue 15, PP: 204 - 215, September' 2016.
- [16]Kavita K. Ghogare, "Seismic Analysis & Design of RCC Building", International Journal of Research in Advent Technology, Vol.3, No.2, February 2015.
- [17]Pachchigar Foram N., Patel Falguni R., Patel Minal H, "Development of Multi-Storeyed RCC Building Model with Soft Storey in STAAD PRO", Global Research and Development Journal for Engineering, March 2016.
- [18]Shrikant M. Harle, "Analysis by STAAD-Pro and Design of Structural Elements by MATLAB", Journal of Asian Scientific Research, Vol. 7, No. 5, 2017, PP. 145-164.
- [19]Pathan Irfan Khan, N.R.Dhange, "Review Paper on Seismic Analysis Of Multistoried RCC Building Due To Mass Irregularity", IJSDR, Volume 1, Issue 6, June 2016.
- [20]S.K. Dubey, Prakash Sangamnerkar, Ankit Agrawal, "Dynamics Analysis of Structures Subjected To Earthquake Load", International Journal of Advance Engineering and Research Development, Volume 2, Issue 9, September - 2015.
- [21]Piranha Soni, Purushottam Lal Tamrakar, Vikky Kumhar, "Structural Analysis of Multistory Building of Different shear Walls Location and Heights", International Journal of Engineering Trends and Technology (IJETT), Volume 32, Number 1, February 2016.